



RESEARCH STUDY
STEMSCOPES DISTRICTS OUT PERFORM
NON-STEMSCOPES DISTRICTS FOR 3 YEARS IN A ROW
TEXAS SCIENCE ASSESSMENT
5TH GRADE

Comparing STAAR™ Passing Rates for STEMscopes and Non-STEMscopes Districts for 387,292 Students in the State of Texas

OVERVIEW AND KEY FINDINGS

The following report includes results comparing districts that use STEMscopes and districts that do not use STEMscopes on the science component of the 2016-2017 State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (STAAR™). The state of Texas creates benchmarks for proficiency in science and identifies students as not proficient, approaching grade-level proficiency, meeting grade-level proficiency, and mastering grade-level proficiency. The percent of students in each of these categories is used to determine the district's achievement in science. The percentage of students who approach grade-level performance is used by the state as the district passing rate. The key findings of the study include:

- **Using the STEMscopes curriculum increased passing rates by 2% on the 5th grade STAAR.**
- **Subgroups (e.g., latino students and economically disadvantaged students) make significant gains vs. counterparts not using STEMscopes on the 5th grade STAAR.**
- **These findings have been consistent for three consecutive schools years.**

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STAAR RESULTS

The state average passing rate for all Texas school districts that include 5th grade and whose passing rates were publicly released ($N = 1,145$ districts, 387,292 5th grade students) was 69%.^[1] Of these districts, 384 districts used the STEMscopes science curriculum during this school year, and 761 districts used either a district-created science curriculum or purchased a different science curriculum. The rates of proficiency for these two groups of districts are found in the table below. The average passing rate for the STEMscopes districts was 73%, and the average passing rate for the non-STEMscopes districts was 68%. STEMscopes districts also had higher rates of students who met grade-level performance and mastered grade-level performance.

	Approaches	Meets	Masters
STEMscopes Districts (n = 384)	73%	39%	16%
Non-STEMscopes Districts (n = 761)	68%	33%	12%

In addition, achievement for specific subgroups of students was examined. In the table below, STEMscopes districts had higher passing rates for economically disadvantaged, minority, and students with limited English proficiency (LEP) compared to districts that did not have STEMscopes.

	STEMscopes Districts	Non-STEMscopes Districts
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66%	61%
African American Students	61%	50%
Latino Students	68%	62%
LEP Students	54%	46%

Follow-up Analysis on Elementary Results

For the third year in a row, a research follow-up study was conducted to ensure that these differences remained statistically significant after accounting other important variables that influence student achievement. Specifically, multiple regression analysis was utilized to recalculate these passing rates taking into account 2015-2016 passing rates as well as important district demographic, including the size of the district, if the district met its standard, whether the district was a charter school district, teacher turnover rates, and demographic information of students (i.e., race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, ELL, special education, and gifted/talented).

Results showed that, when accounting for these important variables, districts that used STEMscopes continued to have significantly higher overall STAAR™ passing rates compared to districts that did not use STEMscopes. Specifically, STEMscopes districts had a weighted passing rate of 71%, and non-STEMscopes districts had a weighted passing rate of 69%. **In other words, using the STEMscopes curriculum increased passing rates by 2%.**

Elementary Passing Rates for Student Subgroups

These analyses were also conducted examining the passing rates for subgroups of students including students who were economically disadvantaged, minority students, and students with limited English proficiency (LEP). Economically disadvantaged, African American, Latino, and LEP students had significantly higher passing rates in STEMscopes districts than in non-STEMscopes districts:

- **Economically disadvantaged students had an increase of 2%**
- **African American students had an increase of 5%**
- **Latino students had an increase of 2%**
- **LEP students had an increase of 3%**

